

## Southview Congregational Survey

### Basic Information about the Survey and Basic Demographics

There was a soft launch of the congregational online survey on March 15<sup>th</sup> and subsequently distributed between April 15-May 7, 2023. 448 participants filled out the online and paper survey. Information about the online survey took place through announcements during weekend services, email communication through REALM, and on the website. The response rate was approximately 30% (based mainly on average weekend worship attendance). The survey sample size was sufficient for analysis and to make general comments. A word of caution: in any survey, the data that is produced are congregants' perceptions and may or may not be actuality or reality. With this in mind, the online survey is framed around two questions:

1. Where is Southview presently in respect to its mission?
2. Where is Southview moving towards in light of a senior pastor transition?

Table 1 shows the demographic information of those who filled out the online survey.

Table 1. Participants demographic

<b>Participants demographic (N= 448)</b>	
Gender	
Male	56.0%
Female	43.3%
Prefer not to say	.7%
Age	
Under 18	2.0%
18-29	6.7%
30-39	14.7%
40-49	21.4%
50-59	17.4%
60-69	24.1%
70-79	11.4%
80+	2.2%
Ethnic Origins	
Asian	2.7%
Black/African	7.6%
Canadian with Estonian heritage	.2%
Caymanian	.2%
Hispanic/Latino	2.2%
I am White Spouse is Guyanese	.2%
Indigenous (e.g. First Nations, Metis, Inuit)	.4%
Jamaican	.2%
Middle Eastern	.4%
Mixed	.2%
Prefer not to say	1.3%
Scottish	.2%
South Asian	.9%
This question is inappropriate and has no relevance	.2%
Two or More Races	.2%
White/Caucasian	82.6%
Marital Status	
Widowed	3.6%

---

Divorced	4.2%
Separated	1.6%
Married	81.9%
Common law	.4%
Single (never married)	8.3%
Attendance Length	
21+ years	15.4%
11-20 years	30.6%
6-10 years	25.0%
3-5 years	10.9%
1-2 years	10.9%
Less than 1 year	7.1%
Which Weekend Service Attend	
Saturday 6:30pm	23.9%
Sunday 11am	39.3%
Sunday 9am	33.9%
Online	2.9%
Typically Attend Weekend Service	
Less than once a month	3.1%
Once a month	1.3%
Twice a month	6.9%
Three times a month	29.0%
Four or more times a month	59.6%
Community live in	
Auburn Bay	3.3%
Bridlewood	4.0%
Chaparral	6.7%
Cranston	4.9%
Evergreen	8.7%
High River	0.4%
Legacy	4.5%
Mahogany	2.9%
McKenzie Lake	2.0%
McKenzie Towne	1.8%
Midnapore	4.5%
Millrise	1.3%
Okotoks	6.3%
Other	25.2%
Seton	0.4%
Shawnee	1.1%
Shawnessy	4.9%
Silverado	3.1%
Somerset	2.7%
Sundance	6.0%
Walden	5.1%

---

There were more male congregants who took the survey than females. The majority of congregants who took the survey were between the ages of 40-69, married, White/Caucasian, attended Southview 6+ years, and attend worship at least 3 times a month. Majority of congregants attend Sunday morning worship. Perhaps what was a


bit of a surprise was that a quarter of the congregants lived outside of the identified communities. Also, there was a lack of ethnic diversity in the sample.

### Engagement with the Mission of Southview

What extent are congregants at Southview engaged with its mission? Congregants were asked if they felt engaged with the mission and vision of Southview. Chart 1 indicates that 80.1% of congregants agreed and strongly agreed about engagement with the mission.

Chart 1. Engage with the mission of Southview results

**I feel engaged with the mission and vision of Southview to lead as many as possible to passionately follow Jesus (N = 448)**



When looking at how the various age demographics engage with the mission of Southview, there were no significant differences in the mean scores (average), with any of the age levels.<sup>1</sup> Table 2 reveals that the Under 18 and 18-29 years old groups recorded the lowest engagement scores, with the 70-79 year age group the highest.

Table 2. Engaged with the mission and vision of Southview by Age


Age	Number	Mean	Standard Deviation
Under 18	9	3.67	.87
18-29	30	3.93	1.05
30-39	66	4.20	.85
40-49	96	4.23	.99
50-59	78	4.12	1.02
60-69	108	4.28	.92
70-79	51	4.51	.81
80+	10	4.20	.92
<b>Total</b>	<b>448</b>	<b>4.22</b>	<b>.944</b>

<sup>1</sup> A one way ANOVA was conducted to explore the impact of age on the mission of Southview. Congregants were divided into eight age categories. There was not a statistically significant difference at the  $p < .05$  level in scores for the eight age groups:  $F(7, 440) = 1.747, p = .096$ .

### Connected in Community at Southview

Chart 2 shows that 57.5% of congregants feel connected in community at Southview. In a general way, this seems to align with some of the findings from the staff and ministry leaders' interviews where many in the congregation are struggling to feel connected and where Southview can improve.

Chart 2. Connection in community at Southview



When looking at how the various age demographics feel connected to Southview, there were no significant differences in the mean scores (average), with any of the age levels.<sup>2</sup> Table 3 shows the 18-29 years old

Table 3. Feel connected to Southview by Age

Age	Number	Mean	Standard Deviation
Under 18	9	3.78	1.40
18-29	30	3.17	1.09
30-39	66	3.70	1.05
40-49	96	3.63	1.12
50-59	78	3.45	1.11
60-69	108	3.69	1.15
70-79	51	3.90	.92
80+	10	3.90	.99
<b>Total</b>	<b>448</b>	<b>3.63</b>	<b>1.10</b>

group recorded the lowest community connection scores, with the 70-79 and 80+ year age groups the highest. When looking more closely at the 18-29 age category responses to feeling connected in community at

<sup>2</sup> A one way ANOVA was conducted to explore the impact of age on how congregants felt connected at Southview. Congregants were divided into eight age categories. There was not a statistically significant difference at the  $p < .05$  level in scores for the eight age groups:  $F(7, 440) = 1.705, p = .106$ .

Southview, Table 3a shows the breakdown on the Likert scale in terms of total responses and marital status for this age demographic.<sup>3</sup>

Table 3a. Feeling connected to Southview by Age 18-29 total responses and marital status

I feel connected in community at Southview (N = 30)	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree
Total Responses	6.7% (2)	20% (6)	33.3% (10)	30% (9)	10% (3)
Single	0% (0)	20% (4)	40% (8)	25% (5)	15% (3)
Married	.5% (2)	.5% (2)	.5% (2)	1.1% (4)	0% (0)

### Active Presence in the Neighbourhood

Two questions asked about: (1) Southview's active presence in the neighbourhood; and (2) Would the neighbourhood notice if Southview was no longer in Walden. 72.3% of congregants agree and strongly agree that Southview was active in the neighbourhood. To the second question, 77.6% of congregants agree and strongly agree that the neighbourhood would notice if Southview was no longer in Walden.

A one way ANOVA was conducted to explore the impact of age on Southview's active presence in its neighbourhood and if the neighbourhood would notice if Southview was no longer in Walden. Congregants were divided into eight age categories. For both questions, there were no statistically significant differences at the  $p < .05$  level in scores for the eight age groups as Table 4 shows.


Table 4. Active Neighbourhood Presence and Neighbourhood Noticing if Southview no longer in Neighbourhood (One way ANOVA)

Variable	Age	Number	Mean	Standard Deviation	df	F	p
Active Presence	Under 18	9	4.00	1.23	7, 440	.553	.794
	18-29	30	3.87	.82			
	30-39	66	3.88	.94			
	40-49	96	3.94	.95			
	50-59	78	3.91	1.05			
	60-69	108	4.08	.86			
	70-79	51	4.00	.89			
	80+	10	3.70	.68			
<b>Total</b>		<b>448</b>	<b>3.96</b>	<b>.93</b>			
No longer in the neighbourhood	Under 18	9	4.78	.44	7, 440	1.423	.194
	18-29	30	3.83	.95			
	30-39	66	4.00	1.14			
	40-49	96	4.07	.87			
	50-59	78	4.06	.93			
	60-69	108	4.19	.85			
	70-79	51	4.16	.78			
	80+	10	4.10	.74			
<b>Total</b>		<b>448</b>	<b>4.10</b>	<b>.91</b>			

Table plot 5 and 6 below show by age the mean scores of congregants' perceptions related to active presence in the neighbourhood and notice if Southview was no longer in Walden.


<sup>3</sup> A one sample chi-square test was conducted to assess the single responses from the Likert scale. The results were found to be not significant,  $\chi^2 (15, n = 37) = 16.58, p = .35$ . Also, married responses found no significance,  $\chi^2 (24, n = 367) = 22.86, p = .53$ .

Table 5. Plots Active Presence in the Neighbourhood results



This Table plot reveals that the 80+ (M = 3.70) group followed by the 18-29 (M = 3.87) group recorded the lowest active presence scores, with the 60-69 year age group the highest.

Table 6. Plots No Longer in the Neighbourhood results




This Table plot shows that the 18-29 (M = 3.83) group followed by the 30-39 (M = 4.00) group recorded the lowest no longer in the neighbourhood scores, with the Under 18 year age group the highest.

### Discipleship (Spiritual Growth)

Congregants were asked what were the four most important elements of life at Southview that impacted their spiritual growth. Chart 3 shows the results percent the top eleven with Preaching/Teaching (97.5%) as the most important and Outreach the least (7.6%).

Chart 3. Most Important Elements that Impact Spiritual Growth



In examining how the various age demographics related to the most important elements of life that impact spiritual growth, Table 7 breaks down further the percentages by age of each of the elements.

Table 7. Important Elements that Impact Spiritual Growth by Age

	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70-79	80+	Under 18	Total
Preaching/Teaching	6.3%	14.5%	20.5%	17.2%	23.4%	11.4%	2.2%	2.0%	97.5%
Singing/Music	4.2%	10.3%	12.7%	10.9%	15.6%	6.3%	.9%	1.6%	62.5%
Eucharist/Holy Communion	2.7%	5.6%	7.4%	8.5%	11.2%	6.3%	1.6%	1.1%	44.2%
Liturgy or structure of the service	2.7%	3.1%	7.1%	4.2%	6.0%	3.3%	.4%	.4%	43.8%
Prayer	1.8%	5.1%	8.7%	7.4%	11.2%	6.9%	1.6%	1.1%	37.5%
Small Groups	2.9%	7.8%	5.8%	6.5%	8.9%	3.8%	.7%	1.1%	33.5%
Social justice initiatives	.7%	1.6%	1.3%	2.0%	1.1%	.4%	.4%	0%	27.5%
Volunteering in the congregation	1.8%	5.1%	9.2%	4.7%	8.0%	3.6%	.7%	.4%	25.9%
Serving the community	2.0%	3.1%	7.8%	5.1%	6.0%	1.3%	.4%	0%	11.2%
Outreach (eg Alpha)	1.3%	.7%	2.7%	1.8%	3.6%	.9%	0%	.2%	7.6%

Table 8 further breaks down the results of the top four elements that impact spiritual growth by age.

Table 8. Important Top 4 Elements that Impact Spiritual Growth by Age

18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59
1. Preaching/Teaching	1. Preaching/Teaching	1. Preaching/Teaching	1. Preaching/Teaching
2. Singing/Music	2. Singing/Music	2. Singing/Music	2. Singing/Music
3. Small Groups	3. Prayer	3. Volunteering in the congregation	3. Prayer
4. Eucharist or Liturgy	4. Eucharist	4. Serving the community	4. Small Groups
60-69	70-79	80+	Under 18
1. Preaching/Teaching	1. Preaching/Teaching	1. Preaching/Teaching	1. Preaching/Teaching
2. Singing/Music	2. Prayer	2. Eucharist	2. Singing/Music
3. Prayer or Eucharist	3. Singing/Music or Eucharist	3. Singing/Music	3. Prayer or Eucharist
4. Small Groups	4. Small group	4. Volunteering in the congregation	4. Small group

Table 8a shows the results according to gender.


Table 8a. Important Top 6 Elements that Impact Spiritual Growth by Gender

Female	Male
1. Preaching/Teaching (54.7%)	1. Preaching/Teaching (54.7%)
2. Singing/Music (37.1%)	2. Singing/Music (24.8%)
3. Eucharist (25.2%)	3. Prayer (19.0%)
4. Prayer (24.6%)	4. Eucharist (18.3%)
5. Small Groups (20.8%)	5. Small groups (16.7%)
6. Volunteering in the congregation (19.9%)	6. Serving the Community (13.8%)

**Looking Ahead: Ministries that Need to be Emphasized**

As Southview moves forward, Chart 4 shows the areas that congregants suggest that need more emphasis.

Chart 4. Next Season: Areas that Need More Emphasis



Congregants continue to want strong biblical teaching and more emphasis on community outreach. They also see the significance and re-emphasis on children and youth ministries and compassion and care. It seems that both the staff and ministry leaders interviews and the survey want more emphasis on multigenerational ministries in which Southview could do better.

Table 9 shows more closely by age the ministries that need to be emphasized more.



Table 9. Ministries that Need to be Emphasized by Age (%)

18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59
1. Multigenerational Ministries (4.9%)	1. Children and Youth Ministries (10.5%)	1. Children and Youth Ministries (15.8%)	1. Biblical Teaching (15.2%)
2. Community Outreach (4.5%)	2. Biblical Teaching (9.8%)	2. Biblical Teaching (15.2%)	2. Community Outreach (12.3%)
3. Biblical Teaching (4.0%)	3. Multigenerational Ministries (6.5%) or Worship & Arts (6.5%)	3. Community Outreach (11.2%)	3. Children and Youth Ministries (8.3%)
4. Planting other churches (3.3%)	4. Community Outreach (5.6%)	4. Worship & Arts (7.8%)	4. Prayer Ministry (8.0%)
60-69	70-79	80+	Under 18
1. Biblical Teaching (18.5%)	1. Biblical Teaching (15.2%)	1. Compassion & Care (2.0%)	1. Children and Youth Ministries (1.8%)
2. Community Outreach (15.0%)	2. Compassion & Care (12.9%)	2. Biblical Teaching (1.8%)	2. Community Outreach (1.1%) or Multigenerational Ministries (1.1%)
3. Compassion & Care (12.9%)	3. Prayer Ministry (6.5%)	3. Prayer Ministry (1.3%)	3. Biblical Teaching (.7%) or International Missions (.7%) or Prayer Ministry (.7%)
4. Prayer Ministry (10.5%)	4. Community Outreach (5.4%)	4. Children and Youth Ministries (1.1%) or Community Outreach (1.1%)	4. Compassion & Care (.2%)

There seems to be an array of priorities that need to be emphasized by the various ages.

**Looking Ahead: Discipleship that Needs to be Heighten**

In Chart 3 above, Preaching/Teaching from the Word of God ranked as the highest score that impacted spiritual growth. In looking forward where discipleship needs to be heighten, Chart 5 shows that exposure to the Word of God ranked second, but other aspects of discipleship need to be heighten such as growing authentic community, equipping, and outreach to the community. Overall, these results parallel the results from the staff and congregational leaders interviews, where Teaching is doing well, Building community within Southview needs improvement, Equipping is moving forward but more opportunities to grow, and Outreach to the local community is moving forward but more opportunities to grow.

Chart 5. Where Discipleship Needs to be Heighten results




Table 10 shows by age the breakdown where discipleship needs to be heightened.

Table 10. Discipleship needs to be heighten

18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59
1. Growing authentic Christian community (5.6%)	1. Growing authentic Christian community (19.4%)	1. Exposure to the Word of God (17.0%)	1. Growing authentic Christian community (14.5%)
2. Exposure to the Word of God (4.7%)	2. Exposure to the Word of God (17.4%)	2. Growing authentic Christian community (16.5%)	2. Exposure to the Word of God (12.9%)
3. Opportunities to serve and be involved (4.5%)	3. Opportunities for outreach into the community (16.5%)	3. Faithful equipping of our body to make disciples who make disciples (13.8%)	3. Faithful equipping of our body to make disciples who make disciples (11.2%)
4. Opportunities to pray together (4.0%) or Opportunities for outreach into the community (4.0%)	4. Opportunities to serve and be involved (16.1%)	4. Opportunities for outreach into the community (12.5%)	4. Opportunities for outreach into the community (10.5%)
60-69	70-79	80+	Under 18
1. Growing authentic Christian community (19.4%)	1. Exposure to the Word of God (10.3%)	1. Exposure to the Word of God (2.2%)	1. Growing authentic Christian community (1.8%)
2. Exposure to the Word of God (17.4%)	2. Growing authentic Christian community (8.9%)	2. Faithful equipping of our body to make disciples who make disciples (1.8%) or Growing authentic Christian community (1.8%)	2. Opportunities to pray together (1.6%)
3. Opportunities for outreach into the	3. Faithful equipping of our body to make disciples who make disciples (7.4%)		3. Opportunities to serve and be involved (1.3%)


community (16.5%)	4. Opportunities to pray together (7.1%)	3. Opportunities to pray together (1.1%) or Opportunities for outreach into the community (1.1%)	4. Opportunities for outreach into the community (1.1%)
4. Opportunities to serve and be involved (16.1%)		4. Opportunities to serve and be involved (.9%)	

Growing in authentic community scores are the highest in the age categories: 18-29, 30-39, 50-59, 60-69, and under 18. Exposure to the Word of God scores are the highest in these age categories: 40-49, 70-79, and 80+.

**Looking Ahead: Serving the Local Community that Need to be Initiated or Continued**

In Chart 6, it reveals the scores of the highest priority that congregants believe need to be initiated or continued as it relates to the local community.

Chart 6. Serving the Local Community that Need to be Initiated or Continued



Overall, it seems that the highest priorities focus on youth and families with youth mentoring program and free tutoring and continued support for the benevolent funds that helps the local community. Table 11 identifies the highest priority areas according to age.

Table 11. Serving the Local Community that Need to be Initiated or Continued by Age

18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59
1. Food Pantry (4.5%)	1. Youth mentoring programs (8.9%)	1. Youth mentoring programs (14.3%)	1. Youth mentoring programs (11.6%)
2. Youth mentoring programs (3.8%)	2. Christmas/Easter Hampers (7.1%)	2. Free tutoring (10.5%)	2. Supporting our community through the Benevolent Fund (9.2%)
3. Christmas/Easter Hampers (2.9%)	3. Service projects (6.5%)	3. Service projects (9.8%)	
4. Supporting our community through	4. Free tutoring (6.0%)	4. Food Pantry (8.9%)	

the Benevolent Fund (2.7%) or Service projects (2.7%)			3. Free tutoring (8.7%)
			4. Service projects (8.0%)
60-69	70-79	80+	Under 18
1. Supporting our community through the Benevolent Fund (15.0%)	1. Supporting our community through the Benevolent Fund (6.9%)	1. Christmas/Easter Hampers (1.3%) or Free tutoring (1.3%)	1. Food Pantry (1.1%) or Supporting other churches in need (1.1%) or Random acts of kindness (1.1%)
2. Youth mentoring programs (14.3%)	2. Christmas/Easter Hampers (6.3%)	2. Supporting our community through the Benevolent Fund (1.1%) or Youth mentoring programs (1.1%) or Random acts of kindness (1.1%)	2. Christmas/Easter Hampers (.9%) or Service projects (.9%)
3. Free tutoring (10.5%) or Christmas/Easter Hampers (10.5%) or Service projects (10.5%)	3. Youth mentoring programs (6.0%)	3. Food Pantry (.7%) or Service projects (.7%)	3. Financial workshops (.7%) or Youth mentoring programs (.7%)
4. Random acts of kindness (9.8%)	4. Random acts of kindness (5.8%)	4. Financial workshops (.4%) or Supporting other churches in need (.4%)	4. Community garden (.2%) or Supporting our indigenous neighbours (.2%)

**Looking Ahead: Traits in the Next Senior Pastor**

Chart 7 gives the overall score of congregants.

Chart 7. Traits in the Next Senior Pastor results




Table 12 gives the break down in terms of age.

Table 12. Traits in the Next Senior Pastor by Age

18-29		30-39		40-49		50-59	
1. Wise & Discerning (5.4%)	1. Wise & Discerning (9.6%)	1. Wise & Discerning (14.7%)	1. Wise & Discerning (10.9%)	2. Accessible/Approachable (3.6%)	2. Accessible/Approachable (8.3%)	2. Humble (11.6%)	2. Accessible/Approachable (10.3%)
2. Accessible/Approachable (3.6%)	2. Accessible/Approachable (8.3%)	2. Humble (11.6%)	2. Accessible/Approachable (10.3%)	3. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (3.6%)	3. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (7.8%)	3. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (11.4%)	3. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (9.8%)
3. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (3.6%)	3. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (7.8%)	3. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (11.4%)	3. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (9.8%)	4. Compassionate (3.3%)	4. Compassionate (7.4%)	4. Compassionate (10.0%)	4. Humble (11.6%)
4. Compassionate (3.3%)	4. Compassionate (7.4%)	4. Compassionate (10.0%)	4. Humble (11.6%)				
60-69		70-79		80+		Under 18	
1. Wise & Discerning (15.4%)	1. Wise & Discerning (6.9%) or Accessible/Approachable (6.9%)	1. Accessible/Approachable (1.8%)	1. Accessible/Approachable (1.8%)	2. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (14.5%)	2. Compassionate (6.5%)	2. Wise & Discerning (1.3%) or Compassionate (1.3)	2. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (1.3%)
2. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (14.5%)	2. Compassionate (6.5%)	3. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (9.9%)	3. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (9.9%)	3. Accessible/Approachable (14.1%) or Compassionate (14.1%)	3. Humble (5.4%)	3. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (9.9%)	3. Compassionate (.9%) or Humble (.9%)
3. Accessible/Approachable (14.1%) or Compassionate (14.1%)	3. Humble (5.4%)	4. Visionary (.7%)	4. Visionary (.7%)	4. Humble (8.5%)	4. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (4.7%)	4. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (9.9%)	4. Wise & Discerning (.7%) or Charismatic (.7%)
4. Humble (8.5%)	4. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (4.7%)						

This is the break down in respect to gender.

Table 13. Traits in the Next Senior Pastor by Gender

Female		Male	
1. Wise & Discerning (40.4%)	1. Accessible/Approachable (25.6%)	2. Accessible/Approachable (31.5%)	2. Wise & Discerning (24.7%)
2. Accessible/Approachable (31.5%)	2. Wise & Discerning (24.7%)	3. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (30.3%)	3. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (23.8%)
3. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (30.3%)	3. Strong Communicator (not just from the pulpit) (23.8%)	4. Compassionate (27.4%)	4. Compassionate (22.5%)
4. Compassionate (27.4%)	4. Compassionate (22.5%)		

There seems to be great similarities between the female and male scores. According to age, the Wise & Discerning scores were the highest for the 18-29, 30-39, 40-49, 60-69, and 70-79 year old. The highest trait scores for the 80+ and under 18 was Accessible/Approachable.

## Appendix: Southview Congregational Survey 2023

The purpose of this survey is to get your opinion of where Southview Church is presently and where it needs to move forward in order to better reach our desired mission to lead as many as possible to passionately follow Jesus.

Please answer the following questions to the best of your ability. This survey should take approximately 10 minutes to complete. In advance, we thank you for your time and energy to help us better understand Southview Church. You'll enjoy the survey items most if you take each at its most obvious meaning and avoid making subtle distinctions. Your confidentiality will be maintained by the Succession Planning Team. Please read each item carefully and respond closest to your opinion. We have included demographic questions below as this data will help us provide ministry opportunities that better meet the needs and changing demographics of our body.

### Demographic information

- Your Gender
- Your Age
- Which of the following best describes your present marital status?
- Number of people in your household
- How would you identify your race/ethnicity?
- How long have you attended Southview?
- Which weekend service do you generally attend?
- I typically attend weekend worship services
- What community do you live in?

**On a scale of 1 to 5 (1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = neutral, 4 = agree, 5 = strongly agree), please indicate to what extent you disagree or agree with the following statements:**

- I feel engaged with the mission and vision of Southview to lead as many as possible to passionately follow Jesus
- I feel connected in community at Southview
- Southview has an active presence in its neighbourhood
- The neighbourhood around the Southview building would notice if Southview was no longer there

### Present and Looking Ahead

- Identify and select the four (4) most important elements of life at Southview that impact your spiritual growth
- In the next season of Southview, what four (4) areas do we need to emphasize more?
- As we seek to passionately follow Jesus, identify the top four (4) aspects of discipleship that we need to heighten at Southview
- As we serve our local community, identify the four (4) highest priority areas that we need to initiate or continue to reach out
- Identify four (4) prominent traits that best describe the next Senior Pastor at Southview
- Any Other Comments or things you would like to share?